The effect of intercropping *Sclerocarya birrea* (A. Rich.) Hochst., millet and corn in the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

Benard Oula Muok*[1], Atsushi Matsumura[2], Takaaki Ishii[2]

[1]*Kenya Forestry Research Institute, PO Box 20412-00200, City Square, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel. 254-66-31891/2/3 Fax. 254-66-31844*

[2]*Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto Prefectural University, Shimogamohangi, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8522, Japan Tel./Fax +81-757035607*

*Corresponding author (bmuok@yahoo.com)

Abstract

Sclerocarya birrea (A. Rich.) Hochst. (marula) is native to Africa occurring in the semi-arid, deciduous savannas of much of sub-Saharan Africa. It has multiple uses, including the fruits, kernels, oil, bark, wood and leaves which make it a key species to support the development of rural enterprises. Enhancing positive interactions between marula and other crops is key to successful introduction of marula into the farming systems in the arid and semiarid areas of Africa. The objective of the study was to determine the influence of various combinations of marula, *Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. (millet) and *Zea mays* (corn) on one another when inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. A three-chambered acrylic root boxes were used. One outer chamber contained seedlings of *S. birrea* while the other contained millet or corn or bare soil. The central chamber was either inoculated with an AM fungus (*Gigaspora margarita* Baker and Hall) or uninoculated. Inoculation in the presence of the two crops enhanced both biomass production and height growth of marula seedlings. Both hyphal density and number of spores in marula compartments were increased under intercropping system compared to marula monoculture. The study demonstrated that intercropping marula with millet or corn could help in the propagation of AM fungi spores in the soil which would enhance marula establishment especially in soil with low phosphorous and moisture scarcity.

Key Words: arbuscular mycorrhizal, corn, intercropping, millet, *Sclerocarya birrea*

Résumé

Sclerocarya birrea (A. Rich.) Hochst. (marula) est une espèce ligneuse indigène à l'Afrique qui pousse dans des savanes à feuilles caduques sur la grande partie de la région d'Afrique sub-saharienne. L'espèce possède des usages multiples, y compris les fruits, les grains, l'huile, l'écorce, le bois et les feuilles qui font d'elle une espèce recherchée pour soutenir le développement des entreprises rurales. Le renforcement des interactions positives entre le marula et d'autres cultures est primordial pour une introduction réussie du marula dans les systèmes agricoles des régions arides et semi-arides d'Afrique. L'objectif de cette étude était de déterminer l'influence réciproque de différentes combinaisons d'intercalation du marula, du mil, Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br et du maïs, Zea mays une fois inoculés avec des champignons arbusculaires mycorrhiziens. Des boîtes acryliques pour des racines avec trois compartiments ont été utilisées. Le compartiment externe contenait de jeunes plants de S. birrea tandis que l'autre contenait soit le millet ou le maïs ou simplement du sol. Le compartiment central était soit inoculé avec un champignon arbusculaire mycorrhizien (Gigaspora margarita Baker et Hall) ou non inoculé. L'inoculation en présence des deux cultures a stimulé la production de la biomasse et la taille de jeunes plants de marula. La densité des hyphes et le nombre de spores dans les compartiments de marula ont à la fois augmenté dans le système intercalaire par rapport à la monoculture de marula. L'étude a démontré que l'intercalation du marula avec le millet ou le maïs pourrait faciliter la propagation des spores des champignons arbusculaires mycorhiziens dans le sol. Ceci augmenterait l'établissement du marula particulièrement dans les sols avec de basses teneurs en phosphore et en humidité.

Mots clés: champignon arbusculaire mycorhizien, maïs, intercalation, millet, Sclerocarya birrea

Introduction

Sclerocarya birrea (A. Rich.) Hochst. (marula) is a widespread species throughout the semi-arid, deciduous savannas of much of sub-Saharan Africa occupying 29 countries. Marula trees and products have long formed an integral part of the lives, food security and spirituality of indigenous communities living within the distribution of this highly valued and versatile species (Fox and Norwood Young 1983, Shackleton *et al.* 2000). Indeed, archaeological evidence indicates that this species has been used from the earliest of times (Shone 1979). Marula is emerging as one of the important indigenous fruit tree species for introduction into the dryland agroforestry systems of Africa (Muok *et al.*, 2000; Mulilo, 2004). Increased human activities, frequent drought due to climatic change as well as over grazing by livestock has lead

to a decline of the marula populations in the natural habitat. This not only affects the ecosystem but also humans and animals that depend on the species. Marula is particularly, vulnerable due to its dioecious nature.

To enhance sustainable production of the species, there needs an understanding of the basic silvicultural requirements as well as its interaction with other crops in the farming system. One way to conserve the species is by integrating it into the local farming systems. Leakey and Newton (1994) noted that the integration and evaluation of indigenous trees is necessary to protect biological diversity and provide an opportunity for rural communities to have adequate food. For the species to be successfully integrated into the farming systems, they need to fit into the system with minimum disruption to the local agro-eco system. An understanding the ecological and economic interaction between the various components of the agroforestry system is therefore of utmost importance.

Intercropping is an age-old practice of crop production in tropical agriculture. Scientific investigations to evaluate this system have reported many advantages associated with intercropping (Burner, 2003; Hazra and Saha, 2000). For example, introduction of intercropping may benefit the plants by improving soil texture, preventing soil erosion, promoting better water penetration, and supplying organic matter as well as propagation of some symbiotic microorganisms such as indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the soil. Association between soil microorganisms such as arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and ectomycorrhizal (EM) fungi are important components of agroforestry systems but the nature of interactions involved are poorly understood.

Benefits of AM fungi to plants including enhanced nutrient uptake, especially phosphorus (P) and Zinc (Zn) have been reported (Al-Karaki, 2000; Bolan, 1991; Kahiluoto and Vestberg, 1998). In addition, formation of mycorrhizal roots enables plants to obtain more moisture from the surrounding soil than non-mycorrhizal plants (Stahl *et al.*, 1998). Due to adverse factors such as low soil moisture and low vegetation cover that prevail in soils of arid and semi-arid areas of the tropics, the soils harbour natural populations of AM fungi. Ishii (2000) found natural populations of AM fungi in Kenyan soils were less than 200 spores/25g soil as compared to about 1000 spores/25g soil in soils collected in Japan. The main species of these spores collected in Kenyan soils were *Acaulospora* sp., *Gigaspora margarita, Glomus caledonium, Glomus etunicatum* and *Glomus fasciculatum* (Ishii, 2000).

Intercropping is known to have the potential to keep high and viable natural population of AM fungi in soils because of the higher diversity of plants involved. Harinikumar *et al.* (1990) reported that intercropping system between maize and soybean stimulated proliferation of AM fungi as compared to a monoculture system. Intercropping in fruit orchard of *Citrus reticulata* (satsuma mandarin) and sod culture using *Paspalum notatum* Flugge (bahiagrass) improved mycorrhizal colonization in the roots of satsuma mandarin as compared to monoculture culture (Ishii *et al.*, 1996).

Millets have been important staples in the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa for centuries. These crops are still the principal sources of energy, protein, vitamins and minerals for millions of the poorest people in these regions (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 1990). Millets are grown in harsh environments where other crops do not grow or yield poorly. They are grown with limited water resources and usually without application of any fertilizers. The goal of this study was to determine the influence of various combinations of marula, millet and corn have on one another when planted in a three chambered pot configuration and inoculated with AM fungi. The study is based on the hypothesis that inoculation enhances growth of marula, millet and *Zea mays* (corn) under an intercropping system

Materials and Methods

Acrylic root boxes were constructed and divided into three compartments. Each of the two outer compartments was 3-cm wide, 45-cm long and 15-cm deep. The middle small compartment measured 3-cm wide, 5-cm long and 15-cm deep (Fig. 1). The small middle compartments were separated from the two outer compartments, one on each side, by a barrier made of a nylon screen of 37mm mesh that allows the AM hyphae to penetrate but not the plant roots. The boxes were covered with an aluminum foil to block the light and prevent the formation of algae. Each compartment was filled with sterile fine sand.



Figure 1: A 3-chamber acrylic root box. A: Compartment containing seedlings one of the three intercropping plant seedlings or bare sand; B: Compartment is either inoculated, with AMF spores or uninoculated. Arrows: nylon screen.

Fruits of marula were collected using random sampling techniques from four locations in Kenya (Kitui, Baringo, Mbeere, Nyanza). Freshly collected seeds were extracted after which equal samples of seed were combined to give the bulk population sample from which sub samples were taken for germination. Before sowing, the seeds were surface sterilized in 10% sodium hypochlorite solution for 30 minutes and the operculum, which covers the embryo removed before sowing. Seed were germinated in pots containing sterile vermiculite in a growth chamber. A month later, healthy looking seedlings of uniform height (average 12 cm) were transferred to a green house and transplanted according to the pre-determined experimental design in acrylic root boxes. Millet and corn were surface sterilized by dipping in 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 minutes and pre-germinated in sterile vermiculite two weeks before the start of the experiment. Sand was sterilized by autoclaving and root boxes were filled with sterilized sand before transplanting. Root boxes filled with sand were watered to field capacity just before transplanting was done.

There were 12 treatments; marula monoculture, marula with millet intercrop, marula with corn intercrop, millet monoculture, millet with corn intercrop and corn monoculture. Each of the treatment set was inoculated or uninoculated sets. Four replicates were included per treatment. In the mococulture treatments only one compartment contained plants while the opposite side was bare soil. A week after transplanting, inoculation was done with 5g of inoculum (approximately 250 spores of *G. margarita*) (Central Glass Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The number of spores was determined according to Ishii *et al.* (1996). Inoculation was done by spreading a thin layer of the inoculum on the sand surface and watered lightly. The boxes were shuffled weekly at random to reduce biasness which could be caused by angle of the sun light reaching the seedlings.

The experiment was maintained for three months during which time regular watering was done. Each seedling in the experiment was drenched once a week with Hoagland's nutrient solution (Millner and Kitt, 1992) modified by halving the concentrations of P and Zn at a rate of 100ml per plant. Watering was done daily using tap water. The study was conducted in a greenhouse at Kyoto Prefectural University, Japan in summer (June to August). The greenhouse was maintained under natural conditions with normal day length and no air conditioner.

At the termination of the experiments, plant height (from the sand surface to the tallest tip of the terminal shoot), total dry weight (as sum of shoot and root dry weight) was recorded. Aluminum foil cover around the root boxes were removed and hyphal density determined as an average of six random observation on an area of 12.5 x 7.5 mm in each compartment using a camera with a 0.5 inch, 900,000 pixel Charge Coupled Device (CCD) image sensor (Keyence VH-6300, Osaka, Japan). On a computer screen, this area was divided into 192 squares and the density of hyphae calculated according to the following equation: Density of hyphae (%) = (Number of squares with hyphae/total number of squares (192)) x 100 (Cruz *et al.*, 2002). Shoots were severed from the roots and fresh weights of shoots and roots were recorded. Roots were rinsed and samples taken for estimation of AM root colonization according to Ishii and Kadoya (1994), while the number of spores were determined according to Ishii *et al.*(1996). Root samples for SEM were prepared according to (Ishii and Kadoya, 1984) and observed by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Nihon Denshi JXA-840, JEOL, Tokyo). Root catalase and SOD activities were analyzed by the methods of Aebi (1974) and McCord and Fridorich (1969), respectively. Collected data was subjected to statistical analysis using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure and differences between the mean determined by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at 95% significant level.

Results

As shown in Table 1, mycorrhizal marula seedlings recorded significantly higher total biomass production than non mycorrhizal seedlings. Inoculated marula seedlings under millet intercrop recorded higher total biomass production as compared to seedlings under monoculture. There was no significant difference between mycorrhizal marula seedlings under millet and corn intercrop. Height growth in marula seedlings were not affected by inoculation.

Table 1: Effect of intercropping marula with millet and corn, when planted in a 3-chambered pot configuration and inoculated with AM fungi, on total biomass and height of marula seedlings.

	Total DW (g)	Height (cm)
Bare-AM[z]	20.7±1.1a[y]	33.7± 1.6a
Bare+AM[x]	26.9±1.7b	40.9± 2.3a
Millet-AM	16.9±1.3a	35.2± 2.5a
Millet+AM	32.5±0.8c	42.3± 3.1a
Corn-AM	17.6±0.5a	35.9± 3.1a
Corn+AM	29.3±0.4bc	40.1± 2.8a

[z]Uninoculated, [x]inoculated, [y]Mean±standard error (SE) (n=4). Numbers in the same column followed by the same letters are not significantly different using Dancan's multiple range test P<0.5.

Both millet and corn seedlings showed improved total biomass production due to inoculation. There was no difference in total biomass or height growth attributed to the type of the intercrop species in both millet and corn (Table 2 and 3). Comparison of non-mycorrhizal marula seedlings showed that marula monoculture had slightly higher biomass production than non-mycorrhizal seedlings with millet or corn intercrops but the difference was not significant.

Table 2: Effect of intercropping millet with marula and corn, when planted in a 3- chambered pot configuration and inoculated with AM fungi, on total biomass and height of millet seedlings.

	Total DW (g)	Height (cm)
Bare-AM	50.8±3.2a[y]	83.8± 2.7a
Bare+AM	66.0±2.4b	89.1± 4.4a
Marula-AM	49.2±4.1a	82.3± 2.8a
Marula+AM	64.0±3.6b	86.5± 2.3a
Corn-AM	47.9±4.1a	81.8± 2.7a
Corn+AM	62.7±3.2b	84.5± 2.1a

[z]Uninoculated, [x]inoculated, [y]Mean±SE (n=4). Numbers in the same column followed by the same letters are not significantly different using DMRT P<0.5.

Table 3: Effect of intercropping corn with marula and millet, when planted in a 3- chambered pot configuration and inoculated with AM fungi, on total biomass and height of corn seedlings.

	Total DW (g)	Height(cm)
Bare-AM[z]	43.3±1.7a[y]	52.7± 3.8a
Bare+AM[x]	48.9±1.0b	58.2± 4.0a
Marula-AM	43.9±2.2a	51.9± 4.2a
Marula+AM	45.0±1.9b	57.0± 2.3a
Milleta-AM	43.2±1.3a	51.7± 3.2a
Millet+AM	46.2±1.3b	56.0± 2.4a

[z]Uninoculated, [x]inoculated, [y]Mean±SE (n=4). Numbers in the same column followed by the same letters are not significantly different using DMRT P<0.5.

Observations on the various compartments showed presence of AM hyphae in the compartments of millet, corn and marula and no hyphae in bare compartments (Fig. 2 and Table 4). Marula compartment under both intercrops recorded significantly higher hyphal densities compared to compartments under monoculture. There was no significant difference between hyphal densities of marula compartment under millet and corn intercrop. Comparison of the compartments containing the two crops under marula intercrop showed that the compartments containing millet had higher hyphal density than those containing corn (Table 4).



Figure 2: A CCD image of AM hyphal growth in the compartment of marula with millet. H: hypha, S: spore.

As shown in Table 4, marula seedlings under the two intercrops (millet and corn) recorded higher root colonization compared to marula monoculture. Between the two intercrops, marula seedlings growing with millet intercrop recorded higher root colonization (53.4%) compared to those under corn intercrop with recorded 49.5% root colonization rate. Millet compartments recorded the highest root colonization rate. No significant difference was recorded in the colonization rate of millet under intercropping system (73.3%) and monoculture (72.4%). Corn compartments also did not show any significant difference in rate of root colonization under intercrop and monoculture.

Table 4: Influence of various combinations of marula, millet and corn on hyphal density, number of spores and root colonization when planted in a 3-chambered pot configuration and inoculated with AM fungi.

			Hyphal density (%)	No. of spores /25g soil	Root colonization (%)
Marula intercrop system					
	Marula mono.	Marula comp.	37.8±1.5a[y]	84.5 ± 6.4a	37.2±1.6a
	With millet	Marula comp.	51.8±1.5b	166.6 ± 4.9c	53.4±1.2c
		Millet comap.	67.8±1.2d	212.2 ± 1.7d	73.3±1.3e
	With corn	Marula comp.	50.0±1.8b	144.2±16.2b	49.5±1.0b
		Corn comp.	60.7±1.0c	193.5 ± 3.2d	67.6±1.2d
Millet intercrop system					
	Millet mono.	Millet comap.	67.2±0.9d	202.6 ± 4.4d	72.4±1.1e
	With corn	Millet comap.	68.2±1.7d	214.7 ± 5.2d	73.8±1.2e
		Corn comp.	62.7±1.3c	198.1 ± 4.0d	68.2±1.0d
Corn intercrop system					
	Corn mono	Corn comp.	61.8±1.6c	193.7 ± 1.5d	68.3±0.6d

[y]Mean±SE (n=4). mono – monoculture, Numbers in the same column followed by the same letters are not significantly different using DMRT P<0.5.

Discussion

The presence of AM hyphae and spores in the outer compartments showed that AM fungi had grown from the central compartments to outer compartments containing millet, corn or marula. The results demonstrated that inoculation in the presence of millet or corn intercrop enhances the benefits of AM inoculation to marula seedlings. The effect of millet and corn intercrop seems to be related to the ability of the two crops to induce multiplication of AM spores in the soil resulting in higher marula root colonization. This was demonstrated by the fact that marula compartments with both millet and corn intercrops had higher number of spores, hyphal density and root colonization than marula monoculture. No record was available on the marula, millet and corn intercropping to support our view but Cruz *et al.* (2002) reported that root exudates of millet stimulate the hyphal growth of *G. margarita in vitro*. Another possibility could be that increased hyphal density in marula intercropping treatments due to higher root density in the intercropping treatments than in the monoculture treatments. The reason corn and millet did not show an increase in colonization with intercropping, while marula did, could be because corn and millet form inherently vigorous associations with *G. margarita* while marula appears to form only a weak mycorrhizal association. The results show that having two fast growing, strongly mycorrhizal species along with the relatively slow growing, weakly mycorrhizal marula increases hyphal and spore proliferation through out the 3-chambered system, promoting increased colonization in the marula side chamber.

Since the compartments were separated with a nylon screen, which plant roots could not penetrate, mycorrhizal hyphae formed the only link between the crops and marula roots. Previous studies of other plants has demonstrated that the movement of the hyphae between the compartments provides channels to allow nutrient transfer between plants (Martins and Cruz, 1997; Xiaolin and Zhang, 1997). Compared with corn, millet is a more drought tolerant crops. In the poor soils of the tropics, millet is used to multiply AMF spores for bulking up crops seeds. Millet is widely cultivated under traditional agroforestry systems in the arid and semi arid regions of Africa where it is grown under *Acacia albida* (*Faidherbia albida*) trees (Pearson *et al.* 1995). *A. albida* being N-fixing tree, the system has mainly focused on the benefits of nitrogen fixed by *A. albida* to the companion crops and little attention given to the benefits of millet or other companion crops to the tree component. It has been noted that the current intense exploitation of natural forests in the subhumid to arid tropics is leading to degradation of stable ecosystems. The resulting changes in abiotic and biotic soil properties hamper the reestablishment of the vegetation. The biotic changes include a decrease in the density AM spores (Michelsen and Rosendahl, 1990). The observed ability of millet to induce propagation of AM spores in the rhizosphere around the roots demonstrated the potential benefit

of the companion crops to the tree at least in the early stages of development. In arid and semi arid areas, the early stages of tree establishment are the most critical stage which determines the success of afforestation. Multiplication of AM spores around the root region enhanced AM root colonization in trees thus improving the benefits of AM fungi in trees. It is our hypothesis that given the drought tolerance of millet and the observed benefit of millet – marula intercrop, if drought is anticipated millet-marula system is likely to survive better than marula monoculture.

In the current study there was no indication that marula benefited the companion crops in terms of AM root infection but it showed that marula seedlings had no adverse effect on the growth of millet or corn in the first two months of planting. In the early stages of tree establishment, intercropping is a common practice. The fact that marula seedlings did not negatively affect the growth performance of millet and corn could be due to the fact that in the first two months marula seedlings are still not big enough to out-compete the crops for the growth factors. In the areas where it naturally occurs, it is common among farmers to leave marula standing on the croplands during clearing for cultivation (Maundu *et al.*, 1999). This could be an indication that marula has little negative effect on crops or the benefits of marula outweigh the negative effect it may be having on the crops. As it has been recorded world over, rural people selectively clear woodland when preparing land for cultivation (Rackhan, 1989). The criterion is based on the relative importance attached to the tree species.

The results demonstrate that intercropping marula with millet or corn could help in the propagation of AM fungi spores in the soil which would benefit marula. AM fungi are known to enhance tree establishment in environmental stress conditions such as water stress and salt stress. Further field studies are required to determine the mechanism by which millet and corn seem to encourage propagation of AM spores in the soil as well as the role played by the hyphae which formed a network between the various compartments.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a Scholarship awarded to the corresponding author by Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA).

References

Al-Karaki, G. N. 2000. Growth of mycorrhizal tomato and mineral acquisition under salt stress. *Mycorrhiza.* **10:** 51- 54.

Bolan, N. S 1991. A critical review on the role of mycorrhizal fungi in the uptake of phosphorus by plants. *Plant and Soil.* **134:** 189 - 207.

Burner, D. M. 2003. Influence of alley crop environment on orchardgrass and tall fescue herbage. *Agron. J.* **95:** 1163 - 1171.

Codex Alimentarius Commission 1990. Codex standards for cereals, pulses, legumes and derived products. FAO/WHO, Rome.

Cruz, A. F., Ishii, T., Matsumoto, I. and Kadoya, K. 2002. Network establishment of vesicular – arbuscular myrorrhizal hyphae in the rhizospheres between trifoliate orange and some plants. *J. Japan Soc. Hort. Sci.* **71:** 19 - 25.

Fox, F. W., Norwood-Young, M. E. 1983. Food from the veld. South African Institute for Medical Research. Johannesburg, South Africa.

Harinikumar, K. M., Bagyaraj, D. J., Mallesha, B. C. 1990. Effect of intercropping and organic soil amendments on native mycorrhizal fungi in an oxisol. *Arid and Soil Res. and Rehab.* **4:** 193 - 197.

Hazra, C. R. and Saha, D. 2000. Agroforestry in watershed management: Adoption and economic perspective from the central plateau and hills region of India. *Agroforestry Today* **12:** 23 - 28.

Ishii, T. 2000. The utilization of mycorrhizal fungi on agroforestry systems in the semi-arid regions of Kenya. Scientific report, Kyoto Prefectural University, human, environment and agriculture. Vol. 52. pp. 21 - 37.

Ishii, T. and Kadoya, K. 1994. Effect of charcoal as a soil conditioner on citrus growth and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal development. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* **65:**529 - 535.

Ishii, T., Shrestha, Y. H., Kadoya, K. 1996. Effect of sod culture system of bahia grass (*Paspalum notatum* Fl,gge.) on vesicular – arbuscular mycorrhizall formation of Satsuma mandarin trees. Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture. Vol 2. pp. 822 - 824.

Kahiluoto, H. and Vestberg, M. 1998. The effect of arbuscular mycorrhiza on biomass production and phosphorus uptake from sparingly soluble sources by leek (*Allium porrum* L.) in Finnish field soils. *Biological Agriculture and Horticulture.* **16:** 65 - 85.

Leakey, R. R. B. and Newton, A. C. 1994. Domestication of "cinderalla" species as the start of a woody plant revolution. In Tropical trees: the potential for domestication and rebuilding of forest resources. Edited by R. R. B.Leakey and A. C. Newton. HMSO, London. pp 3 - 6.

Martins, M. and Cruz, A. F. 1997. The role of external mycelial network of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: III. A study of nitrogen transfer between plants interconnected by a common mycelium. *Rev. Microbiologia*. **29:** 228-233.

Maundu, M. M., Ngugi, G. W., Kabuye, C. H. S. 1999. Traditional food plants of Kenya. National Museums of Kenya. Nairobi, Kenya.

Michelsen, A. and Rosendahl, S. 1990. Propagule density of VA mycorrhizal fungi in semi-arid bushland in Somalia. 2nd European symposium of mycorrhizae. Elsevier, Amsterdam/Academia, Prague.

Millner, P. D. and Kitt, D. G. 1992. The Beltsville method of soilless production of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Mycorrhiza*. **2:** 9-15.

Mulilo, K. 2004. Namibia: Harvesting and processing of indigenous fruit shows promise. FAO, Project report.

Muok, B.O., Owuor, B., Dawson, I. K., Were, J. M. 2000. The potentials of indigenous fruit trees: Results of a survey in Kitui district, Kenya. *Agroforestry Today* **12:** 13 - 16.

Pearson, C. J., Norman, D. W., Dixon, J. 1995. Sustainable dryland cropping in relation to soil productivity - FAO soils bulletin 72.

Rackhan, O. 1989. Hedges and hedgerow trees in Britain: A thousand years of agroforestry. ODI Social forestry network. Paper 8.

Shackelton, C. M., Dzerefos, C. M., Shackelton, S. E., Mathabela, F. R. 2000. The use and trade in indigenous edible fruits in the Bushbuckridge savanna region, South Africa. *Ecol. Food Nutr*. **39:** 225 - 245.

Shone, A. K. 1979. Notes on the marula Dept. of water affairs and forestry bulletin 58: 1 - 89.

Stahl, P. D., Schuman, G. E., Frost, S. M., Williams, S. E. 1998. Arbuscular mycorrhizae and water stress tolerance of Wyoming big sagebrush seedlings. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **62:** 1309 - 1313.

Xiaolin, L. and Shang, J. 1997. Phosphorus transfer via vesicular mycorrhizal hyphae link between roots of red clover. In Plant nutrition for Sustainable.. Food Production and Environment. Edited by T. Ando, K. Fujita, T. Mae, H. Matsumoto, S. Mori, J. Sekiya J. Kluwer Academ. Dordrecht, Boston, London. pp 749 – 750.